



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/594,368	06/15/2000	Herb A. Little	555255012130	8507

7590 11/13/2003

David B Cochran
Jones Day Reavis & Pogue
North Point
901 Lakeside Avenue
Cleveland, OH 44114

EXAMINER

NORRIS, TREMAYNE M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2134

3

DATE MAILED: 11/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/594,368

Applicant(s)

LITTLE, HERB A.

Examiner

Tremayne M. Norris

Art Unit

2134

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/15/2000.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-45 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 June 2000 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2. 6) ☐ Other:

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-45 are pending

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because Figs 3, 4, and 5 are mislabeled. Figure 3 is not labeled, Figure 4 is mislabeled as to saying "Fig. 3-Prior Art", and Figure 5 says "Fig. 4- Prior Art" and says Fig. 5 at the bottom. These conclusions are drawn based off of what is taught in the specification. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On p. 9 line 17, "encryption stage 40" should be given reference number '50' based upon what is shown in what is supposed to be Figure 4.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-8, 16-23, 31-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Vanstone et al.

Regarding Claims 1 and 16, Vanstone et al teach:

A public-key encryption process and system comprising the steps of:

- a) encrypting a plaintext message into a ciphertext message, the encrypting step includes the step of producing an ephemeral key pair (col.3 lines 7-9 and lines 49-53);
- b) signing a digital signature using the ephemeral key pair (col.5 lines 6-8).

Regarding Claims 2 and 17, Vanstone et al teach a public-key encryption process wherein the encrypting step uses an El Gamal encryption scheme (col.4 lines 3-51).

Regarding Claims 3 and 18, Vanstone et al teach a public-key encryption process wherein the step of signing a digital signature comprises generating the digital signature using a Nyberg-Rueppel digital signature scheme (col.4 lines 3-51).

Regarding Claims 4 and 19, Vanstone et al teach:

A public-key encryption process and system, wherein the step of producing the ephemeral key pair comprises the steps of generating an encryption ephemeral private key x and calculating an encryption ephemeral public key $X = xG$, where G is a generator (col.3 lines 3-6).

Regarding Claims 5 and 20, Vanstone et al teach:

A public-key encryption process and system, for encrypting messages for communication between a sender and a receiver, the process further comprising the steps of,

at the sender,

a) generating a sender private key a ; and

b) calculating a sender public key $A = aG$, where G is a generator,

and at the receiver,

a) generating a receiver private key b ; and

b) calculating a receiver public key $B = bG$,

wherein the sender obtains an authentic copy of the receiver public key B and the receiver obtains an authentic copy of the sender public key A (col.4 lines 3-14).

Regarding Claims 6 and 21, Vanstone et al teach:

A public-key encryption process and system, wherein the step of producing the ephemeral key pair comprises the steps of generating an encryption ephemeral private key x and calculating an encryption ephemeral public key $X = xG$ (col.3 lines 7-9).

Regarding Claims 7 and 22, Vanstone et al teach:

A public-key encryption process and system, further comprising the steps of, at the sender, generating a secret key $K = xB$ and encrypting a plaintext message using the secret key K to generate a ciphertext message (col.3 lines 28-31 and lines 49-53).

Regarding Claims 8 and 23, Vanstone et al teach:

A public-key encryption process and system, further comprising the steps of, at the sender, using the encryption private key x as a signature ephemeral private key and using the encryption ephemeral public key X as a signature ephemeral public key to generate a digital signature (col.3 lines 7-9).

Regarding Claims 9 and 24, Vanstone et al teach:

A public-key encryption process and system, wherein the digital signature comprises a first value r and a second value s , the process further comprising the step of, at the sender, transmitting the encryption ephemeral public key X , the ciphertext message and the second value s of the digital signature to the receiver (col.3 lines 10-12 and col.4 lines 38-39).

Regarding Claims 10 and 25, Vanstone et al teach;

A public-key encryption process and system, further comprising the steps of, at the receiver, generating the secret key $K = bX$, decrypting the transmitted ciphertext message using the generated secret key K , calculating the first value r of the digital signature using the decrypted message and the transmitted encryption ephemeral

public key X and validating the digital signature based on the calculated first value r and the transmitted second value s (col.3 lines 22-31 and lines 57-58).

Claims 31-38 are rejected for same reasons outlined above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-15, 26-30, 41-45 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vanstone et al as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Heer.

Regarding claims 11-15, 26-30, and 41-45, Vanstone et al teach an encryption process of encrypting plaintext message into ciphertext message using an ephemeral key pair and signing a digital signature using the ephemeral key pair. Vanstone et al do not teach an encryption process implemented in a wireless communication system or device, but Heer et al do. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ a public key encryption process with use of wireless communication systems and devices in order to protect information being sent and received from being corrupted and tampered with (col.1 lines 28-38).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Pat No. 5,600,725 to Rueppel et al

US Pat No. 5,241,599 to Bellovin et al


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tremayne M. Norris whose telephone number is (703) 305-8045. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30AM-5:00PM alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on (703) 305-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Tremayne M. Norris

October 13, 2003


GREGORY MORSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100